



TASK #1: MOST PREFERRED OPTION

Discuss the pros & cons of each of the options at your table. Then reach consensus on the option that is most preferred.

TASK #2A: COMMENTS ABOUT MOST PREFERRED OPTION

After selecting your preferred option, list the top three things you like about the option.

TASK #2B: SUGGESTED CHANGES TO THE PREFERRED OPTION

List the three things you might want to change about that option.

Eighty-eight participants attended the fourth and final *FOCUS* Community Engagement Session on June 9, 2016. Session #4 of the *FOCUS* Community Engagement project focused on “What about the Money?”

Architect, Joe Huberty, of Engberg Anderson Architects, began the presentation by providing a review of the four approaches presented at Community Engagement Session #3: Repair, Renew, Expand, and Replace. He discussed how each option would address the “Needs” that community members identified in Community Engagement Session #2. He was joined by Carl Anderson, Chief Estimator Gilbane Building Company, who reviewed the costs for each of these options. Mr. Huberty also added tiers to the Replace option to allow for different options within this scenario. These included: Replace Option 4 – new with the largest facility, Replace Option 4A – new with a smaller facility and Replace Option 4B – new with the smaller facility from Option 4A while also reusing existing furnishings from the current Library facility. Next, Andrew Kim of PMA Securities, Inc. presented an overview of the financial implications for the community related to each of the options.

Following the presentation, participants worked in 12 small groups to complete the three tasks listed above. Following is a summary of the responses from the groups.

TASK #1: MOST PREFERRED OPTION – SMALL GROUP CONSENSUS

After hearing the information about the facilities and finances, *FOCUS* participants worked together in their small groups to come to consensus about their most preferred option for the Crystal Lake Public Library facility. Eleven out of 12 groups selected one of the Replace options. One group selected Renew. Six of the 12 groups identified the Replace Option 4 as the most favored followed by three out of the 12 groups selecting the Replace Option 4A that included a smaller facility. The third most selected facility scenario (two of 12 groups) was Replace Option 4B. This option included a smaller facility as well as reusing more of the existing furnishings.

TASK #2A: COMMENTS ABOUT MOST PREFERRED OPTION

Once the groups identified their most preferred option, participants were asked to list the top three things the group liked about their selected option. Five of the 12 groups noted that their selected option met all the needs of the Library/community while others mentioned benefits related to “starting fresh.” Another commonly mentioned positive listed by the groups who chose one of the “Replace” options was the “new” and “more” parking as well as the ground entry included in the scenario. Multiple groups also mentioned that their preferred option offered “more bang for the buck.”

TASK #2B: SUGGESTED CHANGES TO THE PREFERRED OPTION

The FOCUS groups were then asked to share any suggested changes for their preferred option. Responses varied with some groups suggesting a lounge area, and asking questions about how the city can support the process as well as ensuring that the new facility supports potential future needs such as expansion. The most frequently listed suggestions related to ways to raise funding through donations and fundraising avenues in order to reduce the overall cost.

MOST PREFERRED OPTION - INDIVIDUAL

At the conclusion of the evening, each participant was asked to place their individual votes for the facility options. Two individuals selected the Renew options. The balance of the attendees selected one of the three options to Replace.

**For a complete listing of all responses
see the June 9, 2016 CES-4 Verbatim Response Document found at
<http://focus.clpl.org>**